

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,320	0 07/06/2001		Roy M. Soetikno	STAN219	5631
24353	7590	04/09/2003			
	•	O & FRANCIS L	LP	EXAMI	NER
200 MIDDLE SUITE 200	FIELD F	KD	LANDREM, KAMRIN R		
MENLO PARK, CA 94025					
	,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3738	7
				DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	• (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

◆ /		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/900,320	SOETIKNO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kamrin R. Landrem	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply leply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute. cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	4 March 2003 .	
,	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allocalosed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	wance except for formal matters er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicati	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7,9,16 and 18</u> is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10-15 and 17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	l/or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disa	pproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Appl	ication No
Copies of the certified copies of the properties application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language (
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/900,320

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of species B in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Claims 7,9,16,18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10-15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giantureo et al (USPN 6,187,116) in view of Hedges et al (USPN 5,035,706).

Giantureo et al discloses a luminal stent comprising a tightening drawstring through one end of the stent, wherein the drawstring is slack during normal use and is tightened for compressing and removing the stent from the body lumen. Giantureo discloses all of the claimed elements except for the particulars pertaining to the removal device. Hedges et al teaches a stent removal device comprising a flexible elongate member with a grasping member manual means for actuating the grasping member that allows the artery to remain expanded and enables the stent to be readily withdrawn from its location in the lumen. Therefore in view of the teachings it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have combined the tightening

Application/Control Number: 09/900,320

Art Unit: 3738

drawstring stent of Giantureo with the removal device of Hedges in order to compress the stent, grasp the stent, and withdrawal it from the body lumen. The combination of the method for removal of Giantureo and Hedges would result in the claimed method.

Claim 1, with regards to adding a tightening drawstring through termini of stent, see col. 2, lines 41-53 of Giantureo. With regards to grasping drawstrings, tightening drawstring to decrease the circumference of the stent and withdrawing the stent into a protective sheath in order to remove stent, see col. 2, lines 53-65 of Giantureo. For specifics of the removal device see disclosure of Hedges.

Claim 2, with regards to stent placed in gastrointestinal lumen, it is well known in the art to use stents in the gastrointestinal lumen, for example see Dua et al reference which also features a drawstring for removal.

Claim 3, with regards to endoscope (16), see col. 2, lines 6-35 of Hedges

Claim 4, with regards to endoscope (16) and over tube (14), see col. 2, lines 7-35 and Figs of Hedges. With regards to stent being withdrawn into over tube, see col. 2, lines 53-66 of Giantureo.

Claim 5, with regards to metallic, self-expanding stent, see abstract of Giantureo.

Claim 6, with regards to nylon filament, nylon is well known in the art as a biocompatible material.

Claim 8, with regards to threading of wire, see all Figs of Giantureo

Claim 10, with regards to luminal stent (30) comprising a tightening drawstring (40) through termini (35) of the stent (30), see col. 4, lines 59- col. 5, line 18 and Fig 7A of Giantureo. With regards to stent removal device (10) comprising a flexible elongate member (15)

Application/Control Number: 09/900,320

Art Unit: 3738

with proximal and distal ends, a grasping member (18) and a manual means (12) for actuating the grasping member, see disclosure and Fig. 1 of Hedges.

Claim 11, with regards to protective sheath (14) see Figs 1-7 of Hedges.

Claim 12, with regards to endoscope, see col. 2, lines 6-35 of Hodges.

Claim 13, with regards to metallic, self-expanding stent, see abstract of Hodges.

Claim 14, see claim 2, supra.

Claim 15, see claim 6, supra.

Claim 17, with regards to threading of wire, see all Figs of Giantureo.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are relevant to the applicant's invention, Keranen (USPN 5,662,702) and Uflacker (USPN 5,776,186).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamrin R. Landrem whose telephone number is 703-305-8061. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-3905 for regular communications and 703-308-3905 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Kamrin Landrem Examiner AU 3738

KRL April 3, 2003

> Divid J. Isabella Frimary Examiner